4. Variations on Threaded Code

The previous example assumed a stack was used as the basic discipline for data. Actually this assumption is unnecessary. The threaded code service routines can pass or receive data according to any convention; they may even be passed parameters if desired. The parameters of a routine can immediately follow the threaded link to the routine. As each is used by the service routine, the link pointer can be incremented to step through the parameters. For example, on the PDP-11 a two-parameter routine to copy a word A to a word B could look like this:

```
CALL: COPY
     A
     B

COPY: MOV @ (R) +, @ (R) +
     JMP @ (R) +
```

We have presented the concept of threaded code in its most basic form. There are numerous time and space optimizations which could be made. For example, it can easily be determined whether a given service routine R is always followed by the same other service routine S. If so, then R can end with a jump directly to S, leaving one less link to thread. Moreover in many cases the routine for R can be placed immediately before the routine for S, thereby eliminating the need for any jump at all. This clearly saves both space and time.

In a practical application it may be expedient to write some sections in threaded code and some in hard code, provided that shifting between modes is rapid.

5. Conclusions

We have shown that under certain circumstances threaded code provides an attractive alternative to hard code, saving space at little cost in time.
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Abstract: Efficient algorithms are presented for partitioning a graph into connected components, biconnected components and simple paths. The algorithm for partitioning a graph into simple paths is iterative and each iteration produces a new path between two vertices already on paths. (The start vertex can be specified dynamically.) If V is the number of vertices and E is the number of edges, each algorithm requires time and space proportional to max (V, E) when executed on a random access computer.
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Description

Graphs arise in many different contexts where it is necessary to represent interrelations between data elements. Consequently algorithms are being developed to manipulate graphs and test them for various properties. Certain basic tasks are common to many of these algorithms. For example, in order to test a graph for planarity, one first decomposes the graph into biconnected components and tests each component separately. If one is using an algorithm [4] with asymptotic growth of V log(V) to test for planarity, it is imperative that one use an algorithm for partitioning the graph whose asymptotic growth is linear with the number of edges rather than quadratic in the number of vertices. In fact, representing a graph by a connection matrix in the above case would result in spending more time in constructing the matrix than in testing the graph for planarity if it were represented by a list of edges. It is with this in mind that we present a structure for representing graphs in a computer and several algorithms for simple
operations on the graph. These include dividing a graph into connected components, dividing a graph into biconnected components, and partitioning a graph into simple paths. The algorithm for division into connected components is well known [7]. The description of an algorithm similar to the biconnected components algorithm has just appeared [6]. For a graph with \( V \) vertices and \( E \) edges, each algorithm requires time and space proportional to \( \max(V, E) \).

Standard graph terminology will be used throughout this discussion. See for instance [2]. We assume that the graph is initially given as a list of pairs of vertices, each pair representing an edge of the graph. The order of the vertices is unimportant; that is, the graph is unordered. Labels may be attached to some or all of the vertices and edges.

Our model is that of a random-access computer with standard operations; accessing a number in storage requires unit time. We allow storage of numbers no larger than \( k \max(V, E) \) where \( k \) is some constant. (If the labels are large data items, we assume that the graph is unordered. Labels may be attached to some or all of the vertices and edges.

Now let us consider applying the algorithm to a graph. Referring to the flowchart, every passage through the YES branch of block A causes an edge to be deleted from the graph. Each passage through

Fig. 1. Flowchart for connected components algorithm.
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If vertex \( v_2 \) is on the list of vertices adjacent to \( v_1 \), then \( (v_1, v_2) \) is a directed edge of the graph. Vertex \( v_1 \) is called the tail, and vertex \( v_2 \) is called the head of the edge.

A directed representation of an undirected graph is a representation of this form in which each edge appears only once; the edges are directed according to some criterion such as the direction in which they are traversed during a search. Some version of this structure representation is used in all the algorithms.

One technique has proved to be of great value. That is the notion of search, moving from vertex to adjacent vertex in the graph in such a way that all the edges are covered. In particular, depth-first search is the basis of all the algorithms presented here. In this pattern of search, each time an edge to a new vertex is discovered, the search is continued from the new vertex and is not renewed at the old vertex until all edges from the new vertex are exhausted. The search process provides an orientation for each edge, in addition to generating information used in the particular algorithms.

Detailed Description of the Algorithms

Algorithm for finding the connected components of a graph. This algorithm finds the connected components of a graph by performing depth-first search on each connected component. Each new vertex reached is marked. When no more vertices can be reached along edges from marked vertices, a connected component has been found. An unmarked vertex is then selected, and the process is repeated until the entire graph is explored.

The details of the algorithm appear in the flowchart (Figure 1). Since the algorithm is well known, and since it forms a part of the algorithm for finding biconnected components, we omit proofs of its correctness and time bound. These proofs may be found as part of the proofs for the biconnected components algorithm. The algorithm requires space proportional to \( \max(V, E) \) and time proportional to \( \max(V, E) \), where \( V \) is the number of vertices and \( E \) is the number of edges of the graph.

Algorithm for finding the biconnected components of a graph. This algorithm breaks a graph into its biconnected components by performing a depth-first search along the edges of the graph. Each new point reached is placed on a stack, and for each point a record is kept of the lowest point on the stack to which it is connected by a path of unstacked points. When a new point cannot be reached from the top of the stack, the top point is deleted, and the search is continued from the next point on the stack. If the top point does not connect to a point lower than the second point on the stack, then this second point is an articulation point of the graph.

All edges examined during the search are placed on another stack, so that when an articulation point is found the edges of the corresponding biconnected component may be retrieved and placed in an output array.

When the stack is exhausted, a complete search of a connected component has been performed. If the graph is connected, the process is complete. Otherwise, an unreache node is selected as a new starting point and the process repeated until all of the graph has been exhausted. Isolated vertices are not listed as biconnected components, since they have no adjacent edges. They are merely skipped. The details of the algorithm are given in the flowchart (Figure 2). Note that this flowchart gives a nondeterministic algorithm, since any new edge may be selected in block A. The actual program is deterministic: the choice of an edge depends on the particular representation of the graph.
the NO branch of block B causes a point to be deleted from the stack. Once a point is deleted from the stack it is never added to the stack again, since all adjacent edges have been examined. Each edge is deleted from the stack of edges once in block C. Thus the blocks directly below the YES branch of block A are executed at most E times, those below the NO branch of block B at most V times, and the total time spent in block C is proportional to E. Therefore there is some k such that for all graphs the algorithm takes no more than k max(V, E) steps. A more explicit time bound may be calculated by referring to the program.

Suppose the graph G contains no edges. By examining the flowchart we see that the algorithm, when applied to G, will terminate after examining each point once and listing no components. Thus the algorithm operates correctly in this case. Suppose the algorithm works correctly on all graphs with E-1 or fewer edges. Consider applying the algorithm to a graph G with E edges. Since the stack of points becomes empty at least once during the operation of the algorithm, and since the YES branch at block D must be taken when only two points are on the stack, every edge must not only be placed on the stack of edges but must be removed in block C. Consider the first time block C is reached when the algorithm is applied to graph G. Suppose not all the edges in the graph are removed from the stack of edges in this execution of block C. Then p, the second point on the stack, is an articulation point and separates the removed edges from the other edges in the graph.

Let E₁ be the set of removed edges, let E₂ be the set of edges still on the stack, and let E₃ be the set of remaining edges of G. Let G₁ be the subgraph of G made up of the edges from E₁, and let G₂ = G - G₁. Since G₁ and G₂ each have at most E-1 edges, the induction hypothesis implies that the algorithm operates correctly on both G₁ and G₂.

Assume that the edges for each vertex in G₁ and G₂ are listed in the same order as for G. Consider the sequence of steps taken when the algorithm is applied to G. The sequence of steps taken on G₂ can be divided into an initial sequence of steps which results in placing the edges E₁ on the stack, followed by the remaining sequence S₂. The sequence of steps taken on G consists of the sequence S₁, followed by the steps taken on G₁ with p as the start point, followed by S₂.

The behavior of the algorithm on G is simply the composite of its behavior on G₁ and G₂, thus the algorithm must operate correctly on G.

Now suppose that the first time block C is reached, all the edges of G are removed from the stack of edges. We want to show that in this case G is biconnected. Suppose that G is not biconnected. Then choose a biconnected component of G which may be separated by removing some one point p and which does not contain the start point of G. Let the edges making up this component be G₁ of G; let the remainder of G be G₂. The algorithm operates correctly on G₁, and on G₂ by assumption. The behavior of the algorithm on G is a composite of its behavior on G₁ and on G₂. Assume that the edges for each vertex in G₁ and G₂ are listed in the same order as for G. The sequence of steps on G is identical to the sequence of steps on G₁ with start point p. The remaining steps on G are the same as the remaining steps on G₁. The algorithm reaches block C once while processing G₁ and at least once while processing G₂. This contradicts the fact that the algorithm only reaches block C once while processing G. Thus G must be biconnected, and the algorithm operates correctly on G. By induction, the algorithm is correct for all simple graphs without loops.

Algorithm for finding simple paths in a graph. This algorithm may be used to partition a graph into simple paths, such that all the paths exhaust the edges of the graph. Each iteration of the algorithm produces a new path which contains no vertex twice, and which connects the chosen startpoint with some other vertex which already occurs in a path. Total running time is proportional to the number of edges in the graph. The starting point for each successive path may be selected arbitrarily. In fact, the initial edge of each successive path may be selected arbitrarily from the set of unused edges.

The algorithm is highly dependent on the graph being biconnected. (The biconnected components of a graph are found using the previously described algorithm.) In order to find a new path, the initial edge is selected and the head of the edge is checked.

The search generates a tree-like structure: specifically, it is a tree with edges connecting some vertices with their (not necessarily immediate) ancestors. (We will visualize the tree drawn so that the root, which is an ancestor of all points, is at the bottom of the tree.) Enough information is saved from this tree so that if a point in it is reached when building another path, the path may be completed without any further search.

The flowchart (Figures 3 and 4) gives the details of the algorithm. It is divided into two parts; one for the depth-first search process and one for path construction using previously gathered information. We shall prove the correctness of the algorithm and give a time bound for its operation. To derive the time bound, we assume that one point is marked old initially, and a different point
is selected as the initial startpoint. The algorithm is then run repeatedly with arbitrary startpoints until all edges are used to form paths.

Let us consider path generation using depth-first search; that is, suppose the algorithm is applied and that the head of the first edge selected is previously unreached. Referring to the flowchart, we see that the search process is very similar to that used in the biconnectivity algorithm. A search tree is generated, and each edge examined is either part of the tree or connects a point to one of its predecessors in the tree. LOWPOINT is exactly the same as in the biconnectivity algorithm; it gives the number of the lowest point in the tree reachable from a given point by continuing out along the tree and taking one edge back toward the root. The forward edges point along this path, while the backward edges point back along the tree branches. We have shown in the correctness proof of the biconnectivity algorithm that, if the graph is biconnected, LOWPOINT of a given point must point to a node which is an ancestor of the immediate predecessor of the given point. In particular, LOWPOINT of the second point in the search tree must indicate an old point which is not the startpoint. Therefore the algorithm will find a path containing the initial edge. Note that all points encountered during the search process must either be old or un-reached, since every point reached in a previous search either has had all its edges examined or has been included in a path.

Let us now suppose that the head of the first edge has been reached previously but is not marked old. Then the forward and backward pointers, along with the LOWPOINT values, allow the algorithm to construct a path without further search. First, if the number of the head of the edge is less than the number of the startpoint, then following backward pointers will certainly produce a simple path, since the root of a search tree must be old and each successive point along a backward path has a lower number and is distinct from the other points in the path. If the initial edge is part of a search tree and the startpoint is the predecessor of the second point, then LOWPOINT of the second point must be less than the number of the startpoint. Following forward edges until reaching a point numbered lower than the startpoint and then following backward edges will produce a simple path. This is true since the forward edges point through descendants of the tree, with the single exception of the edge whose head is a point below startpoint in the tree. The last case to consider occurs when the initial edge is not part of a search tree but points from a node to one of its descendants in a tree. In this case some node in the tree between the startpoint and the second point of the path must have a LOWPOINT value less than the number of the startpoint. If we follow backward edges until the first such point is reached, then follow forward edges until a point numbered less than the startpoint is reached, and finally follow backward edges until an old point is reached, we will generate a simple path. Note that the first forward edge taken cannot lead to the previous point because, if it did, the LOWPOINT value at the previous point would be less than the number of startpoint, and the forward edge from this point would have been chosen instead of the backward edge.

We thus see that each execution of the pathfinding algorithm produces a simple path, assuming that the algorithm is applied to a biconnected graph with at least one point which is not the first startpoint marked old initially. Since each edge is examined at most once in the search section of the algorithm, and since each edge is put into a path once, there is a constant $k$ such that the time required to execute the algorithm until no edges are unused is less than $kE$ steps, where $E$ is the number of edges in the graph. (Note that the number of vertices, $V$, is less than $E$ if the graph is biconnected.) Detailed examination of the program will produce a more exact time bound.

Another algorithm for finding simple paths exists. Lempel, Even, and Cederbaum [5] have described an algorithm for numbering the vertices of a biconnected graph such that: (i) each number is an integer in the range 1 to $V$, where $V$ is the number of vertices on the graph; (ii) vertices 1 and $V$ are jointed by an edge; (iii) for all $1 < i < V$, vertex $i$ is joined to at least two vertices, one with a
higher number and one with a lower number. We may use this algo-

rithm to partition a graph into simple paths.

Given a start point and an adjacent end point, number the
vertices so that the startpoint is 1, the endpoint is V, and
the numbering satisfies the conditions above. Take edge (1, V) as
the first path. Given an arbitrary startpoint, find an edge to a higher
numbered vertex. Continue to find edges to successively higher
numbered vertices until an old vertex is reached.

This algorithm is clearly correct and looks conceptually simple.
However, Lempel, Even, and Cederbaum present no efficient im-
plementation of their numbering algorithm, and the only efficient
way we have found to implement it requires using the previously
described pathfinding algorithm in a more complicated form. Thus
the new algorithm requires time and space proportional to
max(V, E), but the constants of proportionality are larger than
those for the implemented algorithm.

Implementation. The algorithms for finding connected com-
ponents, biconnected components, and simple paths were originally
implemented and tested in Algol W. The programs were then
translated to Algol for publication and tested using the OS/360
Algol compiler. Auxiliary subroutines were also implemented. Brief
descriptions of the procedures are provided below.

ADD2(A, B, STACK, PTR): This procedure adds values A
followed by value B to the top of stack STACK and increments
the pointer to the top of the stack (PTR). Stacks are represented as
arrays; the top of the stack is the highest filled location.

NEXTLINK(POINT, VALUE): This procedure is used to
build the structural representation of a graph. It adds VALUE
to the list of vertices adjacent to POINT. (POINT, VALUE) is an
edge (possibly directed) of the graph.

CONNECT(V, E, EPTR, EDGELIST, COMPONENTS): This
procedure, given a graph with V vertices and E edges, whose
edges are listed in EDGELIST, computes the connected components
of the graph and places the edges of the components in
COMPONENTS. Each component is preceded by an entry containing
the number of edges E of the component. The edges are oriented for
output according to the direction in which they were searched
(head first, tail second).

BICONNECT(V, E, EPTR, EDGELIST, COMPONENTS): This
procedure, given a graph with V vertices and E edges, whose
edges are listed in EDGELIST, computes the biconnected
components of the graph and places them in BCOMPONENTS. Each
component is preceded by an entry containing the number of
edges E of the component. The edges are oriented for output
decending to the direction in which they were searched (head last,
tail first).

PATHFINDER(STARTPT, PATHPT, CODEVALUE, PATH): This
procedure, given a list structure representation of a biconnected
graph with certain vertices marked as old, constructs a
simple path from STARTPT to some old vertex, saving
information to be used in constructing succeeding paths. The new
path is stored in array PATH. Calling PATHFINDER repeatedly
may be used to partition the graph into simple paths.

The procedure PATHFINDER requires that the structural
representation of the graph be stored as follows. Each edge is
treated as a pair of directed edges each of which is represented
by an integer between v + 1 and v + 2 \times e. If i, j, ... , k are the integers corresponding to the edges out of vertex i, then initialize
NEXT(i) to k, NEXT(j) to i, i < j, and NEXT(k) to 0. If
the edge ij terminates at vertex l, initialize HEAD(ij) to l. LINK(ij)
is the integer corresponding to the edge in the other direction.
For 1 \leq i \leq v, BACK(i), FORWARD(i), PATHCODE(i) are initialized
to low, LOWPOINT(0) is initialized to v – 1, NODE(i) is initialized to
NEXT(i) whenever i is initialized to FALSE. For 1 \leq j \leq v + 1 \times e
ники are initialized to FALSE. Before the first call of
PATHFINDER some nonnull set of vertices must be marked
as OLD and assigned successive PATHCODE values. CODE-
VALUE is set equal to the number of vertices marked as OLD.
If this is not the first path cannot end at an OLD vertex.

Further comments may be found in the program listings below.
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Algorithm
procedure add2 (a, b, stack, ptr);
value a, b; integer a, b, ptr; integer array stack;
comment Procedure adds values a and b to stack and increases
stack pointer ptr by 2;
begin
ptr := ptr + 2; stack[pirt] := a; stack[ptr] := b
end of add2;
procedure nextlink (point, val);
value point, val; integer point, val;
comment Procedure adds directed edge (point, val) to structural
representation of a graph. Global variables are described as follows.
head[v + 1 × v + 2 × e] and next[v + 1 × v + 2 × e] contain the structural
representation of the graph. freenext is the current last
entry in next array;
begin
freenext := freenext + 1; next[freenext] := next[point];
next[point] := freenext; head[freenext] := val
end of nextlink;
integer procedure min(a, b);
value a, b; integer a, b;
comment Procedure computes the minimum of two integers;
if a < b then min := a else min := b;
procedure connect (v, e, cptr, edgelist, components);
value v, e; integer v, e, cptr;
integer array edgelist, components;
comment Procedure connects the components of a graph.
The parameters are described as follows. v and e are the
number of vertices and edges of the graph. edgelist[1 × 2 × e] is the
initial list of edges of the graph. components[1 × 3 × e] is the list of
edges for each component. The list of edges for each component is
preceded by an entry giving the number of edges of the component.
The global variables are described as follows. head[v + 1 × v + 2 × e]
and next[v + 1 × v + 2 × e] contain the structural representation of
the graph. freenext is the last entry in the array next. The local
variables are described as follows. number[1 × v + 1] is used for
numbering the vertices during the depth first search. code contains
the current highest vertex number. point is the current
vertex being examined during the search. v2 is the next vertex
to be examined during the search. oldptr contains the position
in components to place the value of the next component. The
global procedures are add2 and nextlink. A recursive depth-
first search procedure is used to examine connected components of
the graph;
begin
integer array number [1 × v + 1];
integer code, point, v2, oldptr, i;
procedure connector (point, oldptr);
value point, oldptr; integer point, oldptr;
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This recursive procedure finds a connected component using a depth-first search. The parameters are described as follows: point is the startpoint of search. oldpt is the previous startpoint. Global variables are the same as for connect. The global procedures are add2;

Examining each edge out of point:
for i := i while next[point] > 0 do
begin
comment v2 is head of edge. Delete edge from structural representation;
    v2 := head[next[point]];
    next[point] := next[next[point]];
comment Has this edge been searched in the other direction?
    if (number[v2] <= number[point]) \ (v2 = oldpt) then begin
    comment Add edge to components;
        add2(point, v2, components, eptr);
    comment Determine if a new point has been found;
        if number[v2] = 0 then begin
        comment New point found. Number it;
            number[v2] := code := code + 1;
        comment Initiate a depth-first search from the new point;
            connector(v2, point);
        end end;
    comment Each edge occurs twice, once for each endpoint;
        nextlink[edgelist[2Xi--1], edgelist[2Xi]];
        nextlink[edgelist[2Xci], edgelist[2Xci--1]]
    end;
comment Initialize variables for search;
    eptr := 0; point := 1;
for i := 1 step 1 until v do number[i] := 0;
for i := i while point < v do begin
comment Each execution of connector searches a connected component. After each search, find an unnumbered vertex and search again. Repeat until all vertices are investigated;
    number[point] := code := 1;
    oldpt := eptr := cptr + 1;
    connector(point, 0);
comment Compute number of edges of components;
    components[oldpt] := (eptr-oldpt)+2; for i := i while number[point] = 0 do begin
    end;
procedure connector(point, oldpt, lowpoint);
value v, e; integer v, e, bptr;
integer array edgelist, bicomponents;
begin
comment Procedure finds biconnected components of a graph.
    The parameters are described as follows. v and e are the number of vertices and edges of the graph. edgelist[1:2Xe] is the initial list of edges of the graph. bicomponents[1:3Xe] is the list of edges for each component found. Each component is preceded by an entry giving the number of edges of the component. bptr is a pointer to the last entry of bicomponents. The global variables are described as follows. head[1:v+1] and next[1:v+2Xe] contain the structural representation of the graph. freenext is the last entry in the array next. The local variables are described as follows. number[1:v+1] is an array used for numbering the vertices during the depth-first search. code is the current highest vertex number. edgelist[1:2Xe] is used for storage of edges examined during search. eptr is a pointer to last entry in edgelist. point is the current point being examined during search. v2 is the next point to be examined during search. newlowpt is the lowpoint for the biconnected part of graph above and including v2. oldpt is pointer to position in bicomponents to place a value of next component. The global procedures are min and add2;

Recursive procedure to search a connected component and find its biconnected components using depth-first search. The parameters are described as follows. point is the startpoint of the search. oldpt is the previous startpoint. lowpoint is the lowest point reachable on a path found during search. The global variables are the same as for biconnect. The global procedures are min and add2;

Examining each edge out of point:
for i := i while next[point] > 0 do
begin
comment v2 is the head of the edge. Delete edge from structural representation;
    integer v2;
    v2 := head[next[point]];
    next[point] := next[next[point]];
    comment If the edge has been searched in the other direction, then look for another edge;
    if (number[v2] < number[point]) \ (v2 = oldpt) then begin
    comment Add edge to edgelist;
        add2(point, v2, edgestack, eptr);
    if number[v2] = 0 then begin
    comment New point found. Number it;
        number[v2] := code := code + 1;
    comment Initiate a depth-first search from the new point;
        connector(v2, point);
    end end;
procedure bicomponent(point, oldpt, lowpoint);
integer array number[1:v+1], edgestack[1:2Xe];
integer code, eptr, point, v2, newlowpt, oldpt, i;
begin
comment Add edge to edgelist;
    add2(point, v2, edgestack, eptr);
    if number[v2] = 0 then begin
    comment New point found. Number it;
        number[v2] := code := code + 1;
    comment Initiate a depth-first search from the new point;
        newlowpt := v + 1;
    bicomponent(point, v2, newlowpt);
    comment Note that although the global variable v2 is changed, its value is restored upon exit from this procedure. Recalculate lowpoint;
        lowpoint := min(lowpoint, newlowpt);
    if newlowpt < number[point] then begin
    comment point is an articulation point. Output edges of component from edgelist;
        oldpt := bptr := bptr + 1;
    for i := i while number[edgelist[eptr-1]] > number[point] do
        eptr := eptr - 2
    end;
    comment Add last edge;
        add2(point, v2, bicomponents, bptr);
        eptr := eptr - 2;
    comment Compute number of edges of component;
        bicomponents[oldpt] := (bptr-oldpt)+2
    end
end end else begin
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begin
  comment Each edge occurs twice, once for each endpoint;
  nextlink(edgelist[2*i-1], edgelist[2*i]);
  nextlink(edgelist[2*i], edgelist[2*i-1])
end;

comment Initialize variables for search;

i := 0; eptr := 0; point := 1; v2 := 0;
for i := 1 step 1 until v + 1 do number[i] := 0;
for i := 1 while point <= v do
  begin
    comment Each execution of biconnector searches a connected
    component of the graph. After each search, find an unnum-
    bered vertex and search again. Repeat until all vertices are
    examined;
    number[point] := code := 1; newlowpt := v + 1;
    biconnector(point, v2, newlowpt);
    for i := 1 while number[point] ≠ 0 do point := point + 1
  end;

procedure pathfinder (startpoint, pathpt, codevalue, path);
  integer startpoint, pathpt, codevalue;
  integer array path;
begin
  comment Procedure finds disjoint paths with arbitrary starting
  points in a biconnected graph. The points of each path are
  listed in the array path. The following variables are assumed
global. next[v+1,v+2] and head[v+1,v+2] and link
[v+1,v+2] define the graph using singly linked edge
lists and a set of cross reference pointers. old[v] and mark
[v+1,v+2] indicate used points and edges. pathcode[v] is
the consecutive numbering of the points. lowpoint[v],
forward[v] and back[v] give information saved from depth-
first search, node[v] gives the next unsearched edge from each
point;

  integer point, pastedge, edge, pastpoint, v2, i;
  path[1] := startpoint;
  comment Choose initial edge;
  edge := next[startpoint];
  for i := 1 while (if edge = 0 then false else mark[edge])
  do edge := next[edge];
  begin
    comment No unused edge and thus no path exists:
    next[startpoint] := 0; pathpt := 0:
    go to done
  end;
  point := head[edge]; pathpt := 2;
  if oldpoint then go to pathfound;
  if forward[edge] = 0 then
  begin
    comment Use previously found information to build a path.
    forward, back, lowpoint describe trees investigated using
    depth-first search;
    if pathcode[startpoint] > pathcode[point] then
      go to nextmark;
    nextmark:
      if pathcode[startpoint] > lowpoint[point] then
      begin
        nextforward:
        edge := forward[point]; point := head[edge];
        pathpt := pathpt + 1; path[point] := edge;
        if oldpoint then go to pathfound;
        if pathcode[startpoint] >= pathcode[point] then
          go to nextback;
        go to nextforward;
        edge := back[point]; point := head[edge];
        pathpt := pathpt + 1; path[point] := edge;
        if oldpoint then go to pathfound else
          go to nextmark;
      end;
      nextmark:
      edge := back[point]; point := head[edge];
      pathpt := pathpt + 1; path[point] := edge;
      if oldpoint then go to pathfound else
        go to nextback;
    end;
    comment Use depth-first search to find a path. Save information
describing search tree;
    codevalue := codevalue + 1; pathcode[point] := codevalue;
    nextedge:
    edge := node[point];
    for i := 1 while edge = 0 do
      begin
        backpoint := link[path, pathpt];
        pastpoint := head[backpoint];
        if (forward[path] = 0) V
          (lowpoint[point] < lowpoint[pastpoint]) then
        begin
          forward[path] := path; pathpt := pathpt + 1;
          lowpoint[path] := lowpoint[point];
          if oldv2 (v2 ≠ startpoint) then
        begin
          pathpt := pathpt + 1; path[pathpt] := edge;
          go to pathfound
        end;
        if (forward[path] = 0) V (pathcode[v2] < lowpoint[point]) then
        begin
          forward[path] := edge; lowpoint[point] := pathcode[v2];
          if oldv2 then
          begin
            pathpt := pathpt + 1; path[pathpt] := edge;
            go to pathfound
          end;
        end;
    end;
    done;
end;
```
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